

DASHBOARD

South Carolina State Biosolids Statistics

Data Quality & Methods	2018	explanations & sources
<p>Quality & Confidence in this state's data:</p> <p>Data sources & methods:</p> <p>State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data</p> <p>Demographics & Wastewater</p> <p>State population:</p> <p>Total land area in state (acres):</p> <p>Population density (persons/square mile):</p> <p>Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey:</p> <p>total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere:</p> <p>number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018:</p> <p>Average population served per WRRF:</p> <p>Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP):</p> <p>avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple):</p> <p>Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow:</p> <p>% of population served by on-site (septic) systems:</p> <p>Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs):</p>	<p>Moderate</p> <p>Data compiled & analyzed by NBDP using U.S. EPA ECHO data, supplemented with online info & responses to NBDP survey, including estimates for 11 WRRFs. Data represent at least 76% of solids production in SC in 2018, and likely almost all.</p> <p>67% % in ECHO vs. the total presented here</p> <p>5,084,127</p> <p>19,239,040</p> <p>169.1</p> <p>56</p> <p>185</p> <p>44</p> <p>19,237</p> <p>383</p> <p>504</p> <p>41</p> <p>30%</p> <p>23</p>	<p>ranking by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p> <p>https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility_search?mediaSelected=BioAnnual</p> <p>U.S. Census estimate for July 1, 2018</p> <p>https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/non-estimates-national-state.html</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>NBDP analysis using U.S. EPA ECHO data</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020; state experts, etc.</p> <p>https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility_search?mediaSelected=BioAnnual</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853</p> <p>NBDP estimate based on online news report</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Biosolids Application</p> <p>Agricultural land cropland (acres):</p> <p>% of state area in cropland:</p> <p>Number of farms with that cropland:</p> <p>% cropland to which biosolids were applied:</p> <p>Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.):</p> <p>% cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac):</p>	<p>2,035,329</p> <p>11%</p> <p>16,397</p> <p>no data</p> <p>0.03</p> <p>1.0%</p>	<p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0CB8AD84-6032-3776-AF8B-624DB8825822</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F5656301-C9CD-30FE-9274-2B31C7664DEC</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Nutrient Sources - Comparison</p> <p>Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would come from biosolids?</p> <p>Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p>	<p>2,847</p> <p>47,205</p> <p>50,785</p> <p>2.8%</p> <p>1,186</p> <p>15,054</p> <p>6,394</p>	<p>calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchase</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchase</p>

<p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied P would come from biosolids?</p>	<p>5.2%</p>	<p>calculated</p>
<p>State Regulatory Involvement Biosolids oversight agency / division:</p> <p>Permitting... of biosolids programs: ...of land application sites: FTEs: state biosolids regulatory program: Biosolids program FTEs per million population:</p> <p>Enforcement: Inspections of biosolids facilities & field sites in 2018: Formal violations issued: Amount of state regulations beyond Part 503: Amount of state regulation of nutrient management & phosphorus: Accessibility of biosolids data to public: State encouragement of biosolids recycling to soils: Voluntary additional protections by land appliers known & reported by state coordinator:</p>	<p>Environment agency - water / wastewater program</p> <p>The Bureau of Water in the SC DHEC addresses solids management in NPDES permits as well as in state regulation R61-9.503, which mimics the U.S. EPA Part 503 rule. a Land Application permit is usually required</p> <p>0.39</p> <p>Inspection is incorporated into permitting, and is done at the same time as a WWTP inspection. Because of lack of staff & turnover, the number of inspections has decreased, on both WWTP and sludge sides. Periodic and at permit time.</p> <p>no data Moderate Moderate Low Moderate None</p>	<p>wastewater program</p> <p>survey response by state expert calculated</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p>
<p>Trends New land application activity, 2018 - new permits & acreage, acres applied: acres applied in 2018: Local regulations & their impacts?: details... Legislative & state regulatory actions in 2018 & their impacts?: details... Biosolids beneficial use increasing... ...in 2018?: in 2020?:</p> <p>details...</p>	<p>Low no data None no activity in 2018 None</p> <p>It's staying the same. Yes Because of landfill costs going up, there is more interest in beneficial use. In 2021, composting is gaining interest; SC DHEC is meeting with stakeholders to help streamline compost regulations. There is consideration of a regional compost facility.</p>	<p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)... With quotes of survey responses by state expert(s)</p> <p>survey response by state expert survey response by state expert</p>
<p>Changes in Biosolids Use & Disposal, 2004 - 2018</p> <p>Change* in solids reported used or disposed (in units used by state): Beneficial Use - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Landfill & surface disposal - % point increase or decrease (-): Incineration - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class A - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class B - percentage point increase or decrease (-): No class or not known - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p>	<p>10,317 dry metric tons 3% 22% -1% 3% 8% -11%</p>	<p>*Change may be due to population increase/decrease, change in treatment at a large WWTP, and/or different systems of data tracking and reporting.</p> <p>calculated comparing these 2018 data to 2004 data compiled by the same survey team (NEBRA, 2007)</p>