

STATE BIOSOLIDS SURVEY

Pennsylvania

		Infrastructur	e & Wastewate	r
Total Number of WWTPs	2004 Data	2018 Data 672		
WWTP & Biosolid	s Infrastructure Totals		1	
Number of Separate Preparers (in- or out-of-state, receiving solids from your state):	no data	6		
Total number of your state's WWTPs sending to those Separate Preparers:	no data	~35		
Number of operating sludge incinerators in your state (total):	8	6 WRRFs, 9 SSIs		
Fluidized bed:	no data	2+		There are six separate preparers, all in eastern PA. Some of them take in a lot of out-of-state solids from the New
Multiple hearth:	no data	4+		York City area and NJ. They include Good Spring composting. A & M compost, Mascaro Composting
Number of Part 258 landfills in your state accepting sewage sludge:	data not requested for 2004	48		(Mannheim), Blackwood (lime stabilization), Natural Soils Products (composting), and the WOF NE Blackwood
Number of WWTPs in your state with industrial pre-treatment programs:	data not requested for 2004	295		Project. • In 2018, there were 9 operating SSIs at 6 facilities - ALCOSAN (2 FBIs), DELCORA (2 MHIs), Erie (2
Number of WWTPs in your state with sludge lagoons:	data not requested for 2004	several		MHIs), Hatfield Township Municipal Authority, Wyoming Valley Sanitary Authority, Upper Moreland-Hatboro Joint
Wastewat	er Flow Totals			Sewer Authority (SSI types unknown). Prior to 2018, several shut down due to new, stricter EPA air rules: East
Total statewide average daily wastewater flow (MGD):	data not requested for 2004	no data		Norriton, Plymouth, and Swaterra. In 2020, a new FBI SSI became operational at the Greater Hazleton Joint
Total statewide WWTP design capacity for wastewater flow (MGD):	data not requested for 2004	2,331		Sewer Authority, managing almost all of that WHH 's solids as of 2021 and taking in outside WHH solids,
Total statewide average daily dry weather flow (MGD):	data not requested for 2004	no data		septage, etc. • NBDP used its default estimate that 20% of the state relies on onsite septic systems.
Oth	er Totals			
Number of documented odor & nuisance complaints received by state in 2018 related to				7
biosolids transportation and use or disposal outside of the gates of the WWTP:	data not requested for 2004	no data		
Number of WWTPs involved in those complaints:	data not requested for 2004	no data		
Percent of population served by on-site systems (e.g. septic systems):	no data	20%		

Biosolids Use and Disposal

	UNITS:	Dry U.S. tons	Dry U.S. tons	•	
	BIOSOLIDS USED	OR DISPOSED, 20	18 (adjusted total):	301,000	
			Sum	mary	
	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	NOTE: Quantity of sewage sludge or biosolids used or disposed means the quantity that goes out the gate of the WWTPs. Quantities are measured in the units (the form of measurement) indicated above.
Beneficial Use (applied to soils, not including ADC)	159	116,736	152	139,481	
Disposal & Alternative Dispositions	481	187,264	520	161,843	Data presented here are compiled by NBDP from a mix of sources: U.S. EPA ECHO data submitted by 155 PA water resource
Other	0	0			recovery facilities (WHHFS) for 2018, data from PADEP, and data provided by 20 PA WHHFS in the NBDP survey. Details on data
TOTAL	640	304.000	672	301.324	
			Benefi	cial Use	
	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	
Agricultural	134	106,736	128	130,926	and application on agricultural lands is common, led by Philadelphia, which in 2018, produced heat-dried Class A (likely EQ)
Forestland	0	0			biosolids that were mostly used in agriculture. Some ALCOSAN (Pittsburgh) biosolids were land applied. Harrisburg land applied
Reclamation	15	7,000	12	1,581	Class B biosolids; Lancaster's WRRFs produced both Class A and Class B biosolids that went to agricultual sites. • Mineland
Class A EQ Distribution	10	3,000	12	6,974	reclamation has been ongoing in PA for decades, with larger amounts used in this way in some past years. • PA DEP provided
Beneficial Use Subtotal	159	116,736	152	139,481	estimates for agricultural land application (81,857 dry U.S. tons), reclamation (1,581 dt), and Class A EQ Distribution (6,462 dt).
Long-term storage	0	0			NBDP analysis of EPA ECHO data shows a minimum of 139,481 dt beneficially used, and this total is shown here. NBDP assumed
					that 95% of the beneficially used biosolids went to agriculture and 5% were distributed Class A EQ, based on the pattern for PA in the NBDP WWTP survey. The PA DEP estimate for reclamation was left in place here, subtracted from the land application total. The PA DEP estimate for Class A EQ distribution was 6 A 62 dt which is northy close to the 6 A 72 shown here. The total heneficial use
Number of acres to which biosolids were applied:		data not provided		1878	shown here is likely a low-end estimate; the statewide total may be 5%+ higher (e.g. as much as 146,500 dry U.S. tons).
			Disposal & Altern	ative Dispositions	
	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	

MSW landfill (total)	473	141,056	501	93,490	Landfills in PA received a 424,957 wet U.S. tons of wastewater solids/sewage sludge from WRRFs in PA in 2018, according to a PA
Burial	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	500	92,557	DEP solid waste report. NBDP assumed an average of 22% solids to reach 93,490 dry U.S. tons (the landfilled total presented here).
Alternative daily (ADC), intermediate, or final cover	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	1	933	PA landfills received an additional 35,158 dry tons (159,807 wet tons) of wastewater solids generated out-of-state (in NY, NJ, MD,
Surface Disposal	0	0	10	2,046	DE, WV, VA, OH, CT), for a total of 128,648 dry tons (584,764 wet tons) of wastewater solids buried in PA landfills in 2018. (The out-
Incineration	8	46,208	9	66,307	of-stare solids are not included in the data in this spreadsheet.) Reading, Scranton, and Hazleton sent the largest amounts of
Cement kiln or industrial furnace	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	wastewater solids to landhills in 2018. Most smaller PA WHRFs landhill their solids. • Cranberry Township produced Class B
Deep well injection	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	biosonios used for alternative dany cover (ADC), there may be other hardnined biosonics so used, but, it so, there may are part of the landfill buring total - Surface disposed data are from the EDA ECHO data for 2018 - Incineration data are also from EDA ECHO.
Gasification	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	data No data existed for Frie so that WBRE's solids production (9.625 dmt) was estimated based on 35 MGD availow x 275
Pyrolysis	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	dmt/MGD (which is typical for PA WRRFs). Given the limited number of incinerators, for all of which data were available, the
Disposal & Alternative Dispositions Subtotal	481	187,264	520	161,843	incineration totals here are likely complete and accurate. Thus, the quality of the "disposal & alternative dispositions" data is
TOTAL	640	304,000	672	301,324	moderately high.

Biosolids Quality Summary

	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Producing	Quantity of Biosolids	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Producing	Quantity of Biosolids	NOTE: For "number of entities," the total may not match because some entities go to more than one use or disposal.
Class A EQ	16	25,000	85	94 258	PA DEP provided estimates of the number of WRRFs producing each class of biosolids: 79 Class A EQ (compared to 85 shown
Other Class A	0	0	60	0 1,200	here), 0 Class A, and 248 Class B (compared to the 55 shown here, which is a low estimate based on the incomplete ECHO data).
Class B	143	91,736	55	45,223	NBDP relied here on U.S. EPA ECHO data, which are incomplete, but certainly representative. Note that the ECHO data show Philadelphia as Class A biosolide, which is where they are included here, although they are likely Class A EQ • PA DEP did not
Other (no data, etc.)	481	187,264	520	161,843	estimate quantities of biosolids in this quality summary; the estimates here are by NBDP, assuming that 2/3 of biosolids used in
TOTAL	640	304,000	660	301,324	agriculture were Class A and/or EQ and 1/3 were Class B, and all reclamation biosolids were Class B. The "other (no data, etc.)" are the solids that were landfilled or incinerated, some of which may have been treated to Class B or A standards (but most not).

Biosolids Treatment Practices - No data available

State Pollutant (trace metal, etc.) Concentration Limits in Biosolids Applied to Land, 2018

Numbers entered only where state limits differed in 2018 from U.S. EPA limits.

	Arsenic (As)	Cadmium (Cd)	Chromium (Cr)	Copper (Cu)	Lead (Pb)	Mercury (Hg)	Molybdenum (Mo)	Nickel (Ni)	Selenium (Se)	Zinc (Zn)
EPA Table 1 (mg/kg)	75	85		4300	840	57	75	420	100	7500
EPA Table 3 (mg/kg) & CPLR (kg/ha)	41	39		1500	300	17		420	36 (CPLR = 100)	2800
State ceiling limit (higher limit) (mg/kg)										
State high quality (lower number) limit (mg/kg)										
State CPLR (kg/ha)										
State APLR (kg/ha/365days)										

TESTING

For each of the following constituents, indicate if testing is required by your state, as of 2018.	Is testing required for <i>all</i>	Or is testing required only for biosolids being	Frequency of testing (indicate how often testing must be done for each parameter): on v		If frequency depends on wastewater flow or	
	sewage sludge or biosolids?	beneficially used as fertilizers and soil amendments?	In accordance with Part 503 requirements	In accordance with other frequency required by state (if applicable, please specify)	amount of biosolids used or disposed of, please explain:	
Part 503 metals (As, Cu, Hg, etc.)	no	yes	yes			
Other metals (boron, silver)	no	no	no			
Dioxins/furans	no	no	no			
PCBs	(please select)	yes	not applicable (N/A)	Same as 503 frequency		
Priority pollutants (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015- 09/documents/priority-pollutant-list-epa.pdf))	no	no	no			
Other organic compounds (e.g. PDBEs, pharmaceutical)	no	no	no			
Radioactive isotopes (alpha, beta, Ra 226, etc.)	no	no	no			
Nutrients (NPK)	no	yes	yes			
Pathogen reduction (Class A or B)	no	yes	yes			
Vector attraction reduction (VAR)	no	yes	yes			
PFAS (as of 2018)	no	no	no			
Microplastics (as of 2018)	no	no	no			
TCLP (toxicity characteristic leaching procedure)	no	yes	yes			
Paint Filter Liquids Test	no	no	no			

REPORTING

For each of the following indicate what		Frequency of reporting (in must be done for	ndicate how often testing each parameter):		Are data compiled by	
WWTPs and/or biosolids preparers must report to the state:	Is reporting to the state required for these parameters?	In accordance with Part 503 requirements	In accordance with other frequency required (if applicable, please specify)	How are these data stored by the state?	the state in reports or summaries? Is so, please attach.	
The amounts of biosolids/ sewage sludge used or disposed	yes	yes		paper	no	
Part 503 metals (As, Cu, Hg, etc.)	yes	yes		paper	no	
Other metals (boron, silver)	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)		
Dioxins/furans	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)		
PCBs	yes	yes		paper	no	

Priority pollutants (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015- 09/documents/priority-pollutant-list-epa.pdf)	yes	not applicable (N/A)	permit renewal	paper	no
Other organic compounds (e.g. PDBEs, pharmaceutical)	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	
Radioactive isotopes (alpha, beta, Ra 226, etc.)	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	
Nutrients (NPK)	yes	yes		paper	no
Cumulative Pollutant Loading Rates (CPLR)	yes	yes		paper	no
How biosolids achieve Class A or Class B	yes	yes		paper	no
How biosolids achieve vector attraction reduction (VAR)	yes	yes		paper	no
Solids stabilization process(es) used	yes	yes		paper	no
Other biosolids treatments	yes	yes		paper	no
End use or disposal practice	yes	yes		paper	no
PFAS (as of 2018)	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	
Microplastics (as of 2018)	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	
TCLP (toxicity characteristic leaching procedure)	yes	yes	permit renewal	paper	no
Paint Filter Liquids Test	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	