

DASHBOARD

North Carolina State Biosolids Statistics

Data Quality & Methods	2018	explanations & sources
<p>Quality & Confidence in this state's data:</p> <p>Data sources & methods:</p> <p>State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Moderately High</p> <p><i>Data presented here are from the U.S. EPA's ECHO database, with some additional data from the NBDP survey of WRRFs and from online sources; they account for 115 water resource recovery facilities (WRRFs) in North Carolina that reported managing solids in 2018. Together, these 115 WRRFs treat ~85% of the average daily wastewater flow in NC.</i></p> <p>80% % in ECHO vs. the total presented here</p>	<p>ranking by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p> <p>https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual</p>
<p>Demographics & Wastewater</p> <p>State population:</p> <p>Total land area in state (acres):</p> <p>Population density (persons/square mile):</p> <p>Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey:</p> <p>total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere:</p> <p>number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018:</p> <p>Average population served per WRRF:</p> <p>Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP):</p> <p>avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple):</p> <p>Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow:</p> <p>% of population served by on-site (septic) systems:</p> <p>Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs):</p>	<p>10,383,620</p> <p>31,115,520</p> <p>213.6</p> <p>115</p> <p>309</p> <p>107</p> <p>20,162</p> <p>653</p> <p>653</p> <p>55</p> <p>40%</p> <p>25</p>	<p>U.S. Census estimate for July 1, 2018 https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/pop-estimates-national-state.html</p> <p>calculated ECHO & NBDP survey data Seiple et al., 2020; state experts, etc. https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Biosolids Application</p> <p>Agricultural land cropland (acres):</p> <p>% of state area in cropland:</p> <p>Number of farms with that cropland:</p> <p>% cropland to which biosolids were applied:</p> <p>Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.):</p> <p>% cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac):</p>	<p>5,000,685</p> <p>16%</p> <p>34,563</p> <p>no data</p> <p>0.03</p> <p>0.9%</p>	<p>https://nuirkstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0CBAD84-6032-3776-AFB-624DB8825822</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>https://nuirkstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F56563D1-C9CD-30FE-9774-2F91CC0640EC</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Nutrient Sources - Comparison</p> <p>Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p>	<p>6,201</p> <p>215,818</p> <p>155,102</p>	<p>calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchase</p>

<p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would come from biosolids? Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied P would come from biosolids?</p>	<p>1.6% 2,584 80,115 40,905</p> <p>2.1%</p>	<p>calculated calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchases</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>State Regulatory Involvement Biosolids oversight agency / division: Permitting.... of biosolids programs: ...of land application sites: FTEs: state biosolids regulatory program: Biosolids program FTEs per million population: Enforcement: Inspections of biosolids facilities & field sites in 2018: Formal violations issued: Amount of state regulations beyond Part 503: Amount of state regulation of nutrient management & phosphorus: Accessibility of biosolids data to public: State encouragement of biosolids recycling to soils: Voluntary additional protections by land appliers known & reported by state coordinator:</p>	<p>Environment agency - water / wastewater program thru WWTP's NPDES permit plan required by NPDES permit; permit from solid waste division</p> <p>1 0.10 0 0</p> <p>Moderate Low Low Moderate None</p>	<p>Environment agency - water / wastewater program thru WWTP's NPDES permit plan required by NPDES permit; permit from solid waste division</p> <p>NBDP estimate calculated NC DEQ provided no assistance to NBDP. NC DEQ provided no assistance to NBDP.</p> <p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p>
<p>Trends New land application activity, 2018 - new permits & acreage, acres applied: acres applied in 2018: Local regulations & their impacts?: details... Legislative & state regulatory actions in 2018 & their impacts?: details... Biosolids beneficial use increasing...in 2018?: ...in 2020?: details...</p>	<p>Low no data Low</p> <p>None</p> <p>no data no data</p>	<p>rankings by NBDP survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)...</p> <p>NC DEQ provided limited assistance to NBDP. NC DEQ provided limited assistance to NBDP.</p>
<p>Changes in Biosolids Use & Disposal, 2004 - 2018 Change* in solids reported used or disposed (in units used by state): Beneficial Use - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Landfill & surface disposal - % point increase or decrease (-): Incineration - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class A - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class B - percentage point increase or decrease (-): No class or not known - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p>	<p>6,803 dry metric tons 20% -15% -5% 12% 4% -16%</p>	<p>*Change may be due to population increase/decrease, change in treatment at a large WWTP, and/or different systems of data tracking and reporting.</p> <p>calculated comparing these 2018 data to 2004 data compiled by the same survey team (NEBRA, 2007)</p>