

STATE BIOSOLIDS SURVEY

North Carolina

		r		
	2004 Data	2018 Data		
Total Number of WWTPs	55 (survey), 457 CWNS	115		
Number of Separate Preparers (in- or out-of-state, receiving solids from your state):	1	2		
Total number of your state's WWTPs sending to those Separate Preparers:	0	9+		
Number of operating sludge incinerators in your state (total):	4	4		
Fluidized bed:	no data	3		Data presented here are from the U.S. EPA's ECHO database, with some additional data from the NBDP survey of WRRFs and from
Multiple hearth:	no data	1		online sources; they account for 115 water resource recovery facilities (WRRFs) in North Carolina that reported managing solids in
Number of Part 258 landfills in your state accepting sewage sludge:	data not requested for 2004	75 landfills, no data re accepting solid		2018. Together, these 115 WRRFs treat ~85% of the average daily wastewater flow in NC. The 2012 Clean Watershed Needs
Number of WWTPs in your state with industrial pre-treatment programs:	data not requested for 2004	2+		Survey counted 309 WRRFs in North Carolina. • Statewide average daily wastewater flow is from Seiple et al. 2020. • Sewage
Number of WWTPs in your state with sludge lagoons:	data not requested for 2004	3+		sludge incinerators (SSIs) are in Concord (MHI), Greensboro (FBI), Asheville (FBI), and High Point (FBI). • The largest separate
Wastewa	er Flow Totals	acquired 2018 data, 7 reported sending some solids to McGill for further treatment and distribution. In 2021, McGill was per		
Total statewide average daily wastewater flow (MGD):	data not requested for 2004	653		take in "residuals" from ~30 facilities treating municipal wastewater. Eastern Compost, Inc., near Raleigh, is the second separate
Total statewide WWTP design capacity for wastewater flow (MGD):	data not requested for 2004	no data		preparer listed here. There may be others. • Many WRRFs contract out land application, and some dewatering and lime
Total statewide average daily dry weather flow (MGD):	data not requested for 2004	no data		stabilization. • Percent of NC population with septic tanks was estimated by an expert at North Carolina State University.
Oth	er Totals			
Number of documented odor & nuisance complaints received by state in 2018 related to				
biosolids transportation and use or disposal outside of the gates of the WWTP:	data not requested for 2004	no data		4
Number of WWTPs involved in those complaints:	data not requested for 2004	no data		
Percent of population served by on-site systems (e.g. septic systems):	no data	40%		

Biosolids Use and Disposal

	UNITS:	Dry metric tons	Dry metric tons				
	BIOSOLIDS USE	O OR DISPOSED, 20	18 (adjusted total):	129,200			
Summary							
	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	NOTE: Quantity of sewage sludge or biosolids used or disposed means the quantity that goes out the gate of the WWTPs. Quantities are in the units (the form of measurement) indicated above.		
Beneficial Use (applied to soils, not including ADC)	34	60,787	112	90,236			
Disposal & Alternative Dispositions	21	61,597	22	38,951	the receiving WRRF's totals. For instance, Raleigh's Neuse River Regional Recovery Facility (NRRRF) treats solids from other		
Other	0	0	0	0	WRRFs in the capital area. Western Wake Regional Water Reclamation Facility also treats solids from other facilities. There are likely		
TOTAL	55	122,384	134	129,187	other smaller facilities not counted here that transfer solids to larger WRRFs for treatment or disposal (e.g. incineration).		
Beneficial Use							
	Number of Entities (WWTPs &		Number of Entities (WWTPs &				
	Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids			
Agricultural (EQ, Class A, & Class B)	33	60,567	94	69,222	Agricultural land application of biosolids dominates solids management in North Carolina, led by Raleigh, Charlotte, and Winston-		
Forestland (EQ, Class A, & Class B)	0	0	1	264	Environmental is a large regional soil amendments producer and distributor. All biosolids composted by McGill become available for		
Reclamation (EQ, Class A, & Class B)	0	0	0	0	public sale in bag or bulk (Class A EQ distribution); some go to agricultural lands, while some are used in gardens, lawns,		
Class A EQ Distribution (bagged or bulk, public distribution, or unsure where it went)	1	220	17	20,750	Iandscaping, parks, etc. Of the 19,133 dry metric tons (dmt) of Class A EQ biosolids publicly marketed and distributed in 2018, 10,590 dmt were composted by McGill Environmental Systems. • For solids composted by Eastern Compost, a smaller separate Drenzarer. NBDP assumed 80% was land applied on company farm fields and 20% was solid to the nublic. The same split was		
Beneficial Use Subtotal	34	60,787	112	90,236	assumed for the cities of Shelby and Wilson, where biosolids were reported as composted in 2018, but final product destination was		
Long-term storage	0	0		0	Unable to be determined by NBDP. • Camp Lejeune, a Marine Corps base, applies their Class A EQ biosolids to their training fields and forests. • The NC DEQ annual report on solid waste management indicates there were 47 composing and 16 mulch		
Tacinities in the state, and they composed equilibrium (U.S.) tons of materials, or writer 13% water subject and biosolids: "Using other NRDP default?" solid equilibrium (S.S.) that comes to 12 451 drift of composed equilibrium is about 12 05 the NRDP compiler NRDP default?" solid equilibrium (S.S.) that comes to 12 451 drift of composed equilibrium is about 12 05 the NRDP compiler NRDP default?" solid equilibrium (S.S.) that comes to 12 451 drift of composed equilibrium is about 12 05 the NRDP compiler NRDP default?" solid equilibrium (S.S.) that composed equilibrium is about 12 05 the NRDP compiler NRDP default?" solid equilibrium (S.S.) that composed equilibrium (S.S.) that co							
Number of acres to which biosolids were applied:		no data		no data	a data for biosolids composting presented here.		
Disposal & Alternative Dispositions							

i l	1	i.		i -	
	Number of Entities (WWTPs &		Number of Entities (WWTPs &		
	Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	-
Landfill (total)	17	29,952	16	11,891	
Burial	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	15	11,829	
Alternative daily (ADC), intermediate, or final cover	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	1	62	
Surface Disposal	0	0	2	169	Sewag
Incineration	4	31,645	4	26,891	(FBI, M
Cement kiln or industrial furnace	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	reporte
Deep well injection	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	tonnag
Gasification	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	years s
Pyrolysis	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	
Disposal & Alternative Dispositions Subtotal	21	61,597	22	38,951	
TOTAL	55	122,384	134	129,187	

Sewage sludge incinerators (SSIs) are in Concord (MHI, Water and Sewer Authority of Cabarrus Co.), Greensboro (FBI), Asheville FBI, Metropolitan Sewerage District of Buncombe Co.), and High Point (FBI). The incineration totals presented here differ from totals sported to ECHO, which seemed low for Concord, Asheville, and High Point. NBDP, in consultation with state experts, assumed the nonages incinerated by these three WRFIs were close or equal to the total solids generated by the facilities in 2018. ECHO data for ears surrounding 2018, plus lack of reporting of other management or disposal practices, corroborate this assumption.

Biosolids Quality Summary

	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Producing	Quantity of Biosolids	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Producing	Quantity of Biosolids	NOTE: For "number of entities," the total may not match because some entities go to more than one use or disposal.
Class A EQ	7	21,114	24	37,775	
Other Class A	0	0	0	0	In NC, there is no difference between Class A and Class A EQ biosolids; all Class A biosolids are likely EQ, and categorized as such
Class B	23	47,604	85	55,014	nere. • Hougniy 2/3 or the class A EQ biosolias represented nere were compost. The rest were treated with advanced alkaline
Other (no data, etc.)	26	53,667	13	36,398	stabilization, Abb, heat orgets. • Other includes solids that were incinerated or landlined without having been treated to class A
TOTAL	56	122,385	122	129,187	or Distandards of Wallout Quality being addited.

Biosolids Treatment Practices

	Estimated Number of WWTPs or Separate Preparers Using	Estimated Quantity of Biosolids Produced Using	Estimated Number of WWTPs or Es Separate Preparers Using	stimated Quantity of Biosolids Produced Using	
Stabilization					
Aerobic Digestion (total)	some	no data	67	no data	
Class A (ATAD/Other)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	3	no data	
Class B	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	64	no data	
Anaerobic digestion (AD) (total)	many	no data	12+	no data	
Class A (e.g. thermophilic)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Class B (mesophilic)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	likely all mesophilic	no data	
WWTPs co-digesting (FOG, food, glycol, etc.)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	maybe a few	N/A	
Biogas used (heating, electicity, fuel, etc.;scf/year)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	yes, by most AD WRRFs	N/A	
Lime/Alkaline (total)	many	no data	17	no data	
Class A lime/alkaline	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	2	no data	
Class B lime/alkaline	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	15	no data	
Composting	several	no data	14	24,395	
Thermal (e.g. heat drying, not incineration/gasificatn/pyrol)	a few	no data	7		
Gasification	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	
Pyrolysis	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	
Hydrolysis (thermal, chemical, etc.)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	N/A	
Long-term (lagoons, reed beds, etc.)	no data	no data	many	N/A	
Oxidation ditch / extended aeration	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	N/A	
Other stabilization technology	no data	no data	no data	no data	Data presented here are from the NC WRRFs that reported to ECHO for 2018: data are incomplete. • Charlotte Water is considering
	Dew	atering			installing thermal hydrolysis in the 2020s. • Some McGill compost is sold in bags.
Belt Filter Press	most	no data	no data	no data	
Plate & Frame Press	a few	no data	no data	no data	
Screw Press	no data	no data	no data	no data	
Centrifuge	several	no data	no data	no data	
Vaccuum Filter	no longer many	no data	no data	no data	
Drying beds (open-air)	a few	no data	no data	no data	
Solar drying (e.g. in greenhouse)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Other dewatering technology	no data	no data	no data	no data	
Thickening					
Gravity thickener	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Gravity belt thickener (GBT)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Centrifuge	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Dissolved air flotation (DAF)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Other thickening technology	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Other					
Biosolids sold in bags (explain at right what size bags)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	1	no data	