

DASHBOARD

Missouri State Biosolids Statistics

Quality & Confidence in this state's data: HIGH	Quality & Confidence in this state's data: HIGH NEDP compiled 2018 data provided in survey (options: I Low, None)	High, Moderate, sponding to the e and Seiple et
HTGH Compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP surprised provided by 48 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP surprised 2018 data provided by 28 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP surprised 2018 data provided by 28 of the largest WHTS in Missouri responding to the NRDP surprised 2018 data provided provided provided the NRDP surprised 2018 data provided 2018 data provided provided provided provided provided 2018 data provided provided provided provided 2018 data provided provided provided provided 2018 data provided provided 2018 data provided 2018 d	Demographics & Wastewater	sponding to the e and Seiple et
Data sources & methods: MRDP sources, Additional data represented by 38 of the largest WWTPs in Mesour responding to the MRDP source, Additional data were integrated from U.S. EPA SCHO datase and Segine of controllation. State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data 184% is in ECHO v. State biosolids and sources are stated from the state of the properties of the state of	Data sources & methods: Data sources & methods: State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data Demographics & Wastewater State population: Total land area in state (acres): Population density (persons/square mile): Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: Use lanumber of WRRFs reported in state survey: Use lanumber of WRRFs in EPA ECHO propris for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average population served per WRRF: Average population served per WRRF: Number of WRRFs that treat > 75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultral land cropland (acres): % of of state area in cropland: % or orpland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % or orpland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	e and Seiple et
State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data State biosolids confinators State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data State biosolids confinators State population:	State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data include inaccurate data for Smithville, double-counting for Kansas City, 8	
State piposolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data 184%, % in ECHO vs. the total presented here. ECHO data included inaccurate data for Snithville, double-Counting for Kareas City, &	State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data 184% % in ECHO vs. the total presented here. ECHO data include inaccurate data for Smithville, double-counting for Kansas City, 8 2018 20	diaSelected=bioAnnual
Demographics & Wastewater State population: Total land area in state (surea): Population density (personssquere mile): Population density (personssquere mile): Total land area in state (surea): Population density (personssquere mile): Total land area in state (surea): Population density (personssquere mile): Total include of WRRFs propried in state survey: total number of WRRFs propried in state survey: state in umber of WRRFs propried in state survey: state in umber of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiphe): Number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Pisoslids a statewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiphe): Number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018 (libs): Biosolids Application Application area in cropland: % of state area in cropland: % of state area in cropland: % or orpland to which biosolids were applied: % or orpland to which biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 df/ac): Number of targets with bat cropland in state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 df/ac): Number of targets with bat cropland (area): Ni In his state's animal amenures (metric tonnes): Ni In his state's purchased ferilitizer (metric tonnes): Ni In his state's purchased ferilitizer (metric tonnes): Ni In his state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state biosolids (metric tonnes, 2011): This state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 201	Demographics & Wastewater State population: Total land area in state (acres): Population density (persons/square mile): Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: State population density (persons/square mile): Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: Stola number of WRRFs reported in state survey: Soliple expanited/reported elsewhere: Number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat > 75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: % of of state area in cropland: % of corpland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % of cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	
Demographics & Wastewater 6,126,452	Demographics & Wastewater State population: 6,126,452 U. S. Census estimate for Jul	
Sate population: Total land area in state (acres): Population density (persons/square mile): Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs persons by state expert state number of WRRFs persons by state expert survey response by state expert survey response by state expert no data Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs hat treat > 75% of state flow: % of population served per WRRF: no data survey response by state expert spice state, 2020; state expert survey response by state expert survey respo	State population: Total land area in state (acres): Population density (persons/square mile): Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs reported elsewhere: number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere: no data survey response by state expelled explication Agricultural land cropland (acres): **Biosolids Application** Agricultural land cropland (acres): **O of state area in cropland: **O cropland to which biosolids were applied: **O cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): **O cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): **O cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): **O cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): **O cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): **O cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): **O cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): **O cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): **O cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): **O cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): **O cropland to w	
Total land area in state (acres): Population density (personasisquare mile): Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere: number of WRRFs in EPR ECHO reports for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg. wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: 9% of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): 9% of state area in cropland: 9% of state area in cropland: 9% of state area in cropland: 9% of farms with that cropland: 9% of state area in cropland: 9% of stat	Total land area in state (acres): Population density (persons/square mile): Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere: number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % or copland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	v 1 2018
Population density (personasioguare mile): Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs reported elsewhere: number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Rumber of WRRFs that treat > 755% of state flow: avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat > 755% of state flow: 9% of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): 9% of state area in cropland: 355% Number of farms with that cropland: 9% or of state area in cropland: 7,2,188 9% or of state area in cropland: 9% or of population rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): 9% or of population rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): 9% or or option area of a state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): 9% or option rate if all state biosolids were applied to tropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): 9% or option rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): 9% or option rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): 9% or option rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): 9% or option rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): 9% or option rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): 9% or option rate if all state biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): 1 in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): 1 fall state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): 1 fall state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): 1 fall state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): 1 pin this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2011): 1 pin this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2011): 1 pin this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2011): 1 pin this state's	Population density (persons/square mile): Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere: number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere: number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: % of copland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % of cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	•
Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: botal number of WRRFs reported in state survey: botal number of WRRFs reported fire state survey: botal number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: 808 Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: 90 of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): 90 of state area in cropland: 15,599,446 Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): 90 or cropland to which biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Number of Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): 16 (alculated Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes): 17 (all state biosolids (metric tonnes): 18 (alculated (assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids not biosolids (metric tonnes): 19 (alculated (assuming avg. 2.8% biosolids (metric tonnes)): 19 (alculated (assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids (metric tonnes)): 19 (alculated (assuming avg. 2.8% biosolids (metric tonnes)): 20 (alculated (assuming avg. 2.8% biosolids (metric tonnes)): 21 (alculated (assuming avg. 2.8% biosolids (metric tonnes)): 22 (alculated (assuming avg. 2.8% biosolids (metric to	Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs perported with state survey: total number of WRRFs perported elsewhere: number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: % or cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % or cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	
number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): 808 Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of of state area in cropland: % or of state area in a cropland (acres): % or orpland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % or orpland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2018): Pin this state's animal manures (metric tonnes). Pin this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): Fin this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2011): Fin this state's unchassed fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Fin this state's unchassed fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Fin this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): Fin this state's unchassed fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Fin this state's purchassed fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Fin this state's purchassed fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Fin this state's purchassed fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Fin this state's unchassed fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Fin this state's unchassed fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):	number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % or opland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % or opland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	ert
Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): 808 Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: 26 Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: 808 No of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): 815 826 827 838 838 838 838 838 838 838	Average population served per WRRF: Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): ano data survey response by state expected to survey response by state ex	erts, etc.
Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): 808 Number of WRRFs that treat > 75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): 815 Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: % of of state area in cropland: % or opland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): % or orpland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (fetric tonnes, 2011): It is state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): It is state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): Pin this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Pin this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Pin this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): Pin this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): Pin this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):	Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP): avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): 808 Survey response by state exp Seiple et al., 2020 Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	diaSelected=bioAnnual
avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: % of state area in cropland: % of corpland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): % or opland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's a numen manuare (metric tonnes, 2011): Pin this state's a numen manuare (metric tonnes, 2011): Pin this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):	avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % or cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	vert
Number of WRRFs that treat > 55% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: % of state area in cropland: % of corpland to which biosolids were applied: % cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): D in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):	Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow: % of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % or opland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	
% of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: 15,599,446 35% Calculated 35%	% of population served by on-site (septic) systems: Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	
Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): 13 data tate's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2011): Biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2011): Biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2	Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs): Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison agricultural land cropland (acres): 15,599,446 221,599,446 35% calculated 35% calculated 15,599,446 221,00640EC 221,00640EC 0.03% calculated 0.03% calculated 0.03% calculated calculated calculated calculated	
Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): Total calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P District Conness (metric tonnes, 2011): ### Application of the conness of the	Biosolids Application Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison 15,599,446 2210,588,258,22 15,599,446 2210,588,258,22 2210,588,258,28 2210,588,28 2210,588,258,28 2210,588,258,28 2210,588,258,28 2210,588,28 2210,588,28 2210,588,28 2210,588,28 2210,588,28 2210,588,2	ert
Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's lossolids (metric tonnes): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes), 2011): To state a state of the state of	Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison 15,599,446 220188025822 220.08408 220.08408 220.08408 220.08408 220.08408 220.08408 220.08408 220.08408 220.08208 220.08408	
Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: % cropland to which biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): 1 f all state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes): 15,599,446 20.03% 10.01 20.03% 20.01 20.0	Agricultural land cropland (acres): % of state area in cropland: Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	
Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2018): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes):	Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	4-6032-3776-AF8B-
Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's namal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's namal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Total calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): Total calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P applied N would and processed fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Total calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P applied N would and processed fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2018): Total calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P applied N would and processed fertilizer (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):	Number of farms with that cropland: % cropland to which biosolids were applied: Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	1-C9CD-30FF-9774-
Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): Total calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N Calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids P Calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolid	Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): % cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	
Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): N in this state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): T 5,977 T 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	% cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac): Nutrient Sources - Comparison	
Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): 8431,119 196 197 2,338 2	Nutrient Sources - Comparison	
Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): S 431,119 Calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N https://www.eaa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-ourchased 1% Calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N https://www.eaa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-ourchased Calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N		
N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): 261,450 431,119 431,119 523,38 621,450 621,450 622,438 623,388 623	Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): 5,611 calculated assuming avg. 4.8% bic	
N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): 1431,119 196 196 2,338 2,38 2,338 2	https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-nolicy-data/estim/	solids N
If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes, 2011): 75,977 ***this://www.ena.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased	N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): 261,450	-
Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): 75,977 ***this://www.eaa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased		ercial-fertilizer-purchased
P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): 84,045 P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): 75,977 ***this://www.eaa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): 75,977	https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estima	JIIOS P ted-animal-agriculture-
	State Regulatory Involvement	erciai-remiizer-burchase

Biosolids oversight agency / division: Permitting of biosolids programs: of land application sites: FTEs: state biosolids regulatory program: Biosolids program FTEs per million population: Enforcement: Inspections of biosolids facilities & field sites in 2018: Formal violations issued: Amount of state regulations beyond Part 503: Amount of state regulation of nutrient management & phosphorus: Accessibility of biosolids data to public: State encouragement of biosolids recycling to soils: Voluntary additional protections by land appliers known & reported by state coordinator:	0.5 0.08 0	Environment agency - water / in general & specific NPDES permits None (Part 503 requirements only) Low None (Part 503 requirements only) Low Moderate None	MO follows Part 503; there were not a lot of regulations on the books, but the 2019 change reduced duplication, redundancy. survey response by state expert calculated survey response by state expert survey response by state expert
Trends New land application activity, 2018 - new permits & acreage, acres applied: acres applied in 2018: Local regulations & their impacts?: details Legislative & state regulatory actions in 2018 & their impacts?: details Biosolids beneficial use increasingin 2018?:in 2020?: details	~5000	Low None no activity in 2018 None It's staying the same. Increasing some Kansas City is shifting to more land	rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None) With quotes of survey responses by state expert(s) survey response by state expert survey responses
Changes in Biosolids Use & Disposal Change* in solids reported used or disposed (in units used by state): Beneficial Use - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Landfill & surface disposal - % point increase or decrease (-): Incineration - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class A - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class B - percentage point increase or decrease (-): No class or not known - percentage point increase or decrease (-):	(41,120) no data for 2004		*Change may be due to population increase/decrease, change in treatment at a large WWTP, and/or different systems of data tracking and reporting. calculated comparing these 2018 data to 2004 data compiled by the same survey team (NEBRA, 2007)