

DASHBOARD

Missouri State Biosolids Statistics

| Data Quality & Methods | 2018 | explanations & sources |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Quality & Confidence in this state's data:</p> <p>Data sources & methods:</p> <p>State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data</p> | <p>HIGH</p> <p>NBDP compiled 2018 data provided by 38 of the largest WWTPs in Missouri responding to the NBDP survey. Additional data were integrated from U. S. EPA's ECHO database and Seiple et al., 2020. Data & summary report reviewed by experts in the state, including state biosolids coordinator.</p> <p>184% % in ECHO vs. the total presented here. ECHO data include inaccurate data for Smithville, double-counting for Kansas City, &</p> | <p>ranking by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p> <p>https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=biaAnnual</p> |
| <p>Demographics & Wastewater</p> <p>State population:</p> <p>Total land area in state (acres):</p> <p>Population density (persons/square mile):</p> <p>Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey:</p> <p>total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere:</p> <p>number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018:</p> <p>Average population served per WRRF:</p> <p>Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP):</p> <p>avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple):</p> <p>Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow:</p> <p>% of population served by on-site (septic) systems:</p> <p>Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs):</p> | <p>6,126,452</p> <p>43,994,880</p> <p>89.1</p> <p>745 (CWNS), 97 (NBDP)</p> <p>745</p> <p>91</p> <p>no data</p> <p>no data</p> <p>808</p> <p>26</p> <p>no data</p> <p>42</p> | <p>U. S. Census estimate for July 1, 2018</p> <p>https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/pop-estimates-national-state.html</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020; state experts, etc.</p> <p>https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=biaAnnual</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>calculated</p> |
| <p>Biosolids Application</p> <p>Agricultural land cropland (acres):</p> <p>% of state area in cropland:</p> <p>Number of farms with that cropland:</p> <p>% cropland to which biosolids were applied:</p> <p>Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.):</p> <p>% cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac):</p> | <p>15,599,446</p> <p>35%</p> <p>72,188</p> <p>0.03%</p> <p>0.01</p> <p>0.3%</p> | <p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0CBAD84-6032-3776-AF8B-624DB8825822</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F56563D1-C9CD-30EF-9774-2B91CC0649FC</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p> |
| <p>Nutrient Sources - Comparison</p> <p>Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would</p> <p>Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied P would</p> | <p>5,611</p> <p>261,450</p> <p>431,119</p> <p>1%</p> <p>2,338</p> <p>84,045</p> <p>75,977</p> <p>1%</p> | <p>calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</p> <p>calculated</p> |
| <p>State Regulatory Involvement</p> | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Biosolids oversight agency / division: Permitting.... of biosolids programs:</p> <p>...of land application sites: FTEs: state biosolids regulatory program: Biosolids program FTEs per million population: Enforcement: Inspections of biosolids facilities & field sites in 2018: Formal violations issued: Amount of state regulations beyond Part 503: Amount of state regulation of nutrient management & phosphorus: Accessibility of biosolids data to public: State encouragement of biosolids recycling to soils: Voluntary additional protections by land appliers known & reported by state coordinator:</p> | <p>Environment agency - water / wastewater program in general & specific NPDES permits None (Part 503 requirements only)</p> <p>0.5 0.08 0 0</p> <p>Low None (Part 503 requirements only) Low Moderate None</p> | <p>MO follows Part 503; there were not a lot of regulations on the books, but the 2019 change reduced duplication, redundancy. survey response by state expert calculated survey response by state expert survey response by state expert</p> <p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p> |
| <p>Trends New land application activity, 2018 - new permits & acreage, acres applied: acres applied in 2018: Local regulations & their impacts?: details... Legislative & state regulatory actions in 2018 & their impacts?: details... Biosolids beneficial use increasing... ..in 2018?:in 2020?: details...</p> | <p>Low ~5000 None no activity in 2018 None</p> <p>It's staying the same. Increasing some Kansas City is shifting to more land</p> | <p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)... With quotes of survey responses by state expert(s)</p> <p>survey response by state expert survey responses</p> |
| <p>Changes in Biosolids Use & Disposal</p> <p>Change* in solids reported used or disposed (in units used by state): Beneficial Use - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Landfill & surface disposal - % point increase or decrease (-): Incineration - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class A - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class B - percentage point increase or decrease (-): No class or not known - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p> | <p>(41,120) no data for 2004 no data for 2004 no data for 2004 no data for 2004 no data for 2004 no data for 2004</p> | <p>*Change may be due to population increase/decrease, change in treatment at a large WWTP, and/or different systems of data tracking and reporting.</p> <p>calculated comparing these 2018 data to 2004 data compiled by the same survey team (NEBRA, 2007)</p> |