

DASHBOARD

Maryland State Biosolids Statistics

Data Quality & Methods	2018	explanations & sources
<p>Quality & Confidence in this state's data:</p> <p>Data sources & methods:</p> <p>State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data</p> <hr/> <p>Demographics & Wastewater</p> <p>State population:</p> <p>Total land area in state (acres):</p> <p>Population density (persons/square mile):</p> <p>Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey:</p> <p>total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere:</p> <p>number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018:</p> <p>Average population served per WRRF:</p> <p>Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP):</p> <p>avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple):</p> <p>Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow:</p> <p>% of population served by on-site (septic) systems:</p> <p>Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs):</p>	<p>Moderate</p> <p>Data are primarily from U.S. EPA ECHO & a few NBDP survey data, with corroborating comparisons to MDE data for 2018 & 2019.</p> <p>81% % in ECHO vs. the total presented here</p> <hr/> <p>6,042,718</p> <p>6,212,480</p> <p>622.5</p> <p>43</p> <p>163</p> <p>36</p> <p>29,658</p> <p>425</p> <p>459</p> <p>11</p> <p>20%</p> <p>37</p>	<p>ranking by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p> <p>https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual</p> <hr/> <p>U.S. Census estimate for July 1, 2018</p> <p>https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/pop-estimates-national-state.html</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>MDE data, 2019</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020; state experts, etc.</p> <p>https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853</p> <p>NBDP default estimate</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Biosolids Application</p> <p>Agricultural land cropland (acres):</p> <p>% of state area in cropland:</p> <p>Number of farms with that cropland:</p> <p>% cropland to which biosolids were applied:</p> <p>Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.):</p> <p>% cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac):</p>	<p>1,426,671</p> <p>23%</p> <p>9,233</p> <p>no data</p> <p>0.08</p> <p>2.6%</p>	<p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/OCBRAD84-6032-3776-AF8B-674D88825822</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F56563D1-C9CD-30FE-9274-2F91CC0640FC</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Nutrient Sources - Comparison</p> <p>Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would come from biosolids?</p> <p>Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p>	<p>5,437</p> <p>37,297</p> <p>39,836</p> <p>6.6%</p> <p>2,266</p> <p>10,548</p>	<p>calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</p>

<p>P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied P would come from biosolids?</p>	<p>8,233 10.8%</p>	<p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased calculated</p>
<p>State Regulatory Involvement Biosolids oversight agency / division: Permitting.... of biosolids programs: ...of land application sites: FTEs: state biosolids regulatory program: Biosolids program FTEs per million population: Enforcement: Inspections of biosolids facilities & field sites in 2018: Formal violations issued: Amount of state regulations beyond Part 503: Amount of state regulation of nutrient management & phosphorus: Accessibility of biosolids data to public: State encouragement of biosolids recycling to soils: Voluntary additional protections by land appliers known & reported by state coordinator:</p>	<p>Land & Materials Division of MD Department of Environment See: https://mde.maryland.gov/programs/land/RMP/Pages/sewagesludge.aspx 1.5 0.25 no data no data High High Low no data no data</p>	<p>NBDP estimate calculated rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p>
<p>Trends New land application activity, 2018 - new permits & acreage, acres applied: acres applied in 2018: Local regulations & their impacts?: details... Legislative & state regulatory actions in 2018 & their impacts?: details... Biosolids beneficial use increasing... ..in 2018?: in 2020?: details...</p>	<p>Moderate no data no data no data no data</p>	<p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)... With quotes of survey responses by state expert(s)</p>
<p>Changes in Biosolids Use & Disposal, 2004 - 2018 Change* in solids reported used or disposed (in units used by state): Beneficial Use - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Landfill & surface disposal - % point increase or decrease (-): Incineration - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class A - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class B - percentage point increase or decrease (-): No class or not known - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p>	<p>(10,279) dry metric tons 44% -40% -3% 38% 6% -43%</p>	<p>*Change may be due to population increase/decrease, change in treatment at a large WWTP, and/or different systems of data tracking and reporting. calculated comparing these 2018 data to 2004 data compiled by the same survey team (NEBRA, 2007)</p>