

DASHBOARD

Louisiana State Biosolids Statistics

Data Quality & Methods	2018	explanations & sources
<p>Quality & Confidence in this state's data:</p> <p>Data sources & methods:</p> <p>State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data</p>	<p>Moderate</p> <p>Data from U.S. EPA's ECHO database (32 WRRFs) & the LA Dept. of Envl. Qual. Electronic Document Management System & estimates, representing ~85% of total state wastewater flow. State biosolids coordinator provided additional information.</p> <p>66% % in ECHO vs. the total presented here</p>	<p>ranking by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p> <p>https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual</p>
<p>Demographics & Wastewater</p> <p>State population:</p> <p>Total land area in state (acres):</p> <p>Population density (persons/square mile):</p> <p>Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey:</p> <p>total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere:</p> <p>number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018:</p> <p>Average population served per WRRF:</p> <p>Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP):</p> <p>avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple):</p> <p>Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow:</p> <p>% of population served by on-site (septic) systems:</p> <p>Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs):</p>	<p>4,659,978</p> <p>27,650,560</p> <p>107.9</p> <p>49</p> <p>274</p> <p>32</p> <p>13,606</p> <p>683</p> <p>683</p> <p>23</p> <p>20%</p> <p>18</p>	<p>U.S. Census estimate for July 1, 2018</p> <p>https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/pop-estimates-national-state.html</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020; state experts, etc.</p> <p>https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>NBDP default estimate</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Biosolids Application</p> <p>Agricultural land cropland (acres):</p> <p>% of state area in cropland:</p> <p>Number of farms with that cropland:</p> <p>% cropland to which biosolids were applied:</p> <p>Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.):</p> <p>% cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac):</p>	<p>4,345,843</p> <p>16%</p> <p>16,009</p> <p>no data</p> <p>0.01</p> <p>0.3%</p>	<p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0CBBAD84-6032-3776-AF8B-674DB8825822</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F56563D1-C9CD-30FE-9274-2E91CC0640FC</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Nutrient Sources - Comparison</p> <p>Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would come from biosolids?</p> <p>Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p>	<p>2,017</p> <p>59,630</p> <p>203,915</p> <p>0.8%</p> <p>840</p>	<p>calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P</p>

<p>P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied P would come from biosolids?</p>	<p>18,259</p> <p>17,103</p> <p>2.3%</p>	<p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>State Regulatory Involvement</p> <p>Biosolids oversight agency / division:</p> <p>Permitting.... of biosolids programs:</p> <p>...of land application sites:</p> <p>FTEs: state biosolids regulatory program:</p> <p>Biosolids program FTEs per million population:</p> <p>Enforcement: Inspections of biosolids facilities & field sites in 2018:</p> <p>Formal violations issued:</p> <p>Amount of state regulations beyond Part 503:</p> <p>Amount of state regulation of nutrient management & phosphorus:</p> <p>Accessibility of biosolids data to public:</p> <p>State encouragement of biosolids recycling to soils:</p> <p>Voluntary additional protections by land appliers known & reported by state coordinator:</p>	<p>Environment agency - water / wastewater program</p> <p>thru WWTP's LPDES permit (LA is delegated by U.S. EPA for NPDES permitting)</p> <p>Every facility with an LPDES permitted sanitary outfall needs to obtain some type of disposal permit. More information is now circulating regarding this requirement.</p> <p>1</p> <p>0.21</p> <p>Data are not tracked for newly permitted acreage, inspections, or violations.</p> <p>no data</p> <p>Moderate</p> <p>Moderate</p> <p>Low</p> <p>Low</p> <p>Low</p>	<p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p>
<p>Trends</p> <p>New land application activity, 2018 - new permits & acreage, acres applied:</p> <p>acres applied in 2018:</p> <p>Local regulations & their impacts?:</p> <p>details...</p> <p>Legislative & state regulatory actions in 2018 & their impacts?:</p> <p>details...</p> <p>Biosolids beneficial use increasing... ..in 2018?:</p> <p>....in 2020?:</p> <p>details...</p>	<p>Low</p> <p>no data</p> <p>None</p> <p>no activity in 2018</p> <p>None</p> <p>Have not been approached regarding this so we are unsure as to whether it would be approved.</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Higher landfill costs are driving increased interest in beneficial use.</p>	<p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)... With quotes of survey responses by state expert(s)</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p>
<p>Changes in Biosolids Use & Disposal, 2004 - 2018</p> <p>Change* in solids reported used or disposed (in units used by state):</p> <p>Beneficial Use - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p> <p>Landfill & surface disposal - % point increase or decrease (-):</p> <p>Incineration - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p> <p>Other - % point decrease (-)</p> <p>Class A - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p> <p>Class B - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p> <p>No class or not known - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p>	<p>(15,211) dry metric tons</p> <p>-8%</p> <p>19%</p> <p>-3%</p> <p>-8%</p> <p>-5%</p> <p>4%</p> <p>1%</p>	<p>*Change may be due to population increase/decrease, change in treatment at a large WWTP, and/or different systems of data tracking and reporting.</p> <p>calculated comparing these 2018 data to 2004 data compiled by the same survey team (NEBRA, 2007)</p>