

STATE BIOSOLIDS SURVEY

Kentucky

		Infrastructure	e & Wastewate	r
	2004 Data	2018 Data		
Total Number of WWTPs:	29 (survey), 245 CWNS	123		
WWTP & Biosolids	s Infrastructure Totals			
Number of Separate Preparers (in- or out-of-state, receiving solids from your state):	6	likely 0		
Total number of your state's WWTPs sending to those Separate Preparers:	6	likely 0		
Number of operating sludge incinerators in your state (total):	0	0		
Fluidized bed:	0	0		
Multiple hearth:	0	0		
Number of Part 258 landfills in your state accepting sewage sludge:	data not requested for 2004	23		All of the larger water resource recovery facilities (WRRFs) in KY have industrial pretreatment programs, including Louisville,
Number of WWTPs in your state with industrial pre-treatment programs:	data not requested for 2004	70		Lexington, and Bowling Green, as tracked by KY EEC's pretreatment program. • The number of facilities that have storage lagoons
Number of WWTPs in your state with <i>sludge</i> lagoons:	data not requested for 2004	~60		for solids is not tracked by EEC; solids from those lagoons are typically removed only every 10 - 30 years, and only then are they
	70			NBDP project. • The percentage of homes relying on septic systems is from independent local expert input to the
Total statewide average daily wastewater flow (MGD):	data not requested for 2004	422		http://www2.ca.uky.edu/agcomm/pubs/HENV/HENV502/HENV502.pdf
Total statewide WWTP design capacity for wastewater flow (MGD):	data not requested for 2004	718		
Total statewide average daily dry weather flow (MGD):	data not requested for 2004	no data		
Othe	er Totals			
Number of documented odor & nuisance complaints received by state in 2018 related to biosolids transportation and use or disposal outside of the gates of the WWTP:	data not requested for 2004	no data		
Number of WWTPs involved in those complaints:	data not requested for 2004	no data		
Percent of population served by on-site systems (e.g. septic systems):	no data	40%		

Biosolids Use and Disposal

	UNITS:	Dry metric tons	Dry U.S. tons		
	BIOSOLIDS USED	OR DISPOSED, 20	18 (adjusted total):	112,000	
			Sum	nmary	
	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	NOTE: Quantity of sewage sludge or biosolids used or disposed means the quantity that goes out the gate of the WWTPs. Quantities are in the units (the form of measurement) indicated above.
Beneficial Use (applied to soils, not including ADC)	16	23,194	40	19,672	
Disposal & Alternative Dispositions	15	61,480	23	92,760	The most common process for use or disposal of KY wastewater solids (sludge) is dewatering by belt filter press followed by landfill
Other	1	810	60	no data	disposal.
TOTAL	32	85,484	123	112,432	
			Benefi	icial Use	
	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	
Agricultural (EQ, Class A, & Class B)	12	19,961	39	19,281	2018 data shown here are from U.S. EPA's ECHO database; they are confirmed by similar data for 2019 provided by the state
Forestland (EQ, Class A, & Class B)	0	0	0	0	biosolids coordinator at the Energy and Environment Cabinet (KY EEC). Note, however, that EEC does not require submittal of data
Reclamation (EQ, Class A, & Class B)	0	0	0	0	using the categories shown here at left. KY EEC tracks whether material is managed at a landfarm, a composting facility, or given
Class A EQ Distribution (bagged or bulk, public distribution, or unsure where it went)	4	3,233	1	391	away by a generator to end users. Therefore, the separate categories of beneficial use tonnages at left are based on NBDP estimates based on U.S. EPA ECHO data. • Louisville data are from their own online reports, which indicate that the tonnages of the set of the second
Beneficial Use Subtotal	16	23,194	40	19,672	vears, which they say is due to aging and failing infrastructure. Louisville is upgrading its heat drving system and expects to be
Long-term storage	1	810	60	no data	operating with the potential for increased production in 2022. • KY EEC notes that some KY WRRFs have considered following
					Louisville's lead and creating Class A EQ biosolids, but many see that option as being too expensive. Landfill disposal is simple and fairly inexpensive.
Number of acres to which biosolids were applied:		no data provided		no data	30 years. Many of these are currently in need of clean-out, but communities are struggling to find the money to do so.
			Disposal & Alterr	native Dispositions	
	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Going To	Quantity of Biosolids	

Landfill (total)	13	61,263	23	92,760
Burial	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	23	92,760
Alternative daily (ADC), intermediate, or final cover	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data
Surface Disposal	2	217	0	0
Incineration	0	0	0	0
Cement kiln or industrial furnace	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0
Deep well injection	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0
Gasification	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0
Pyrolysis	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0
Disposal & Alternative Dispositions Subtotal	15	61,480	23	92,760
TOTAL	32	85,484	123	112,432

YY EEC does not compile data separating out wastewater solids that are sent to landfills. The estimate here for landfilled tonnage is derived from U.S. EPA ECHO data. KY EEC confirms that, other than the Class A ED biosolids produced at Louisville, Paducah, and Morehead, almost all other wastewater solids go to landfills, so the number of facilities sending to solids is likey more than 100.

Biosolids Quality Summary

	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Producing	Quantity of Biosolids	Number of Entities (WWTPs & Sep. Preparers) Producing	Quantity of Biosolids	NOTE: For "number of entities," the total may not match because some entities go to more than one use or disposal.
Class A EQ	4	30,502	3	12,584	This information is not provided in annual reports submitted to KY EEC. Kentucky regulations use a different classification method
Other Class A	0	0	0	0	which designates facilities as Type A or Type B. • Based on U.S. EPA ECHO data and local sources, NBDP finds that three WRRFs
Class B	6	13,934	38	7,088	- Louisville, Paducah, & Morehead - produce Class A EQ products. The remainder of the land applied biosolids are assumed to be
Other (no data, etc.)	21	41,047	100	92,760	Class B. • The remaining WRRFs, those that send solids to landfill, do not classify and/or report on their solids, because it is not
TOTAL	31	85,483	141	112,432	required for landfill disposal.

Aerobic Digestion (total)	Estimated Number of WWTPs or Separate Preparers Using Stabi	Estimated Quantity of Biosolids Produced Using	Estimated Number of WWTPs or	Estimated Quantity of Rissalida	
Aerobic Digestion (total)	Stabi		Separate Preparers Using	Produced Using	
Aerobic Digestion (total)		lization			
Class A (ATAD (Other)	0	0	many	no data	
Glass A (ATAD/Other)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	1	85	
Class B	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	many	no data	
Anaerobic digestion (AD) (total)	0	0	few	no data	
Class A (e.g. thermophilic)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	
Class B (mesophilic)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	1	12,108	
WWTPs co-digesting (FOG, food, glycol, etc.)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	N/A	
Biogas used (heating, electicity, fuel, etc.;scf/year)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	1	N/A	
Lime/Alkaline (total)	0	0	few, if any	no data	
Class A lime/alkaline	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004			
Class B lime/alkaline	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	!		
Composting	1	1,738	1	391	
Thermal (e.g. heat drying, not incineration/gasificatn/pyrol)	1	26,417	1	12,108	
Gasification	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	
Pyrolysis	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	
Hydrolysis (thermal, chemical, etc.)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	N/A	Many WHH-s rely on aerobic digestion of solids, but some can't achieve Class B, and, in most cases anyway, me solids are sent to load fill how of the acrobic argentized to be the solid or active to the solid disaction of the case ATAC facility is a Maximum and the solid so
Long-term (lagoons, reed beds, etc.)	0	0	~60	N/A	anothins, wany of the aerobic systems use tarks that were once for anarobic digestion (AD). The one ATAD facturity is at workered.
Oxidation ditch / extended aeration	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	several, including 3 at Frankfort	N/A	• Ab used to be note common across the state, out, because or perceived operating complexity, has been able and only in this communities. It is still in prominent use in the Louisville hospital sprogram where highests is used for renewable energy. • The one communities is used for the state of the communities is used for the state of the
Other stabilization technology	2	1,275	no data	no data	biosolids compositing operation is at Paducah. • The one thermal facility is the heat-drving fertilizer production system at Louisville
	Dewa	atering			producing "Louisville Green." • For dewatering, ~90% of WRRFs use belt filter presses. Louisville, Lexington, and Bowling Green
Belt Filter Press	no data	no data	very common	no data	one out in recent decades. There are no DAFs in use for municipal wastewater solids. There are 2 – 3 drving beds left, but they're
Plate & Frame Press	no data	no data	0	0	less common than they used to be. • Louisville Green has been bagged and sold in farm supply stores.
Screw Press	no data	no data	a few	no data	
Centrifuge	no data	no data	3	no data	
Vaccuum Filter	no data	no data	no data	no data	
Drying beds (open-air)	no data	no data	~3	no data	
Solar drying (e.g. in greenhouse)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	0	0	
Other dewatering technology	no data	no data	no data	no data	
	Thic	kening			
Gravity thickener	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Gravity belt thickener (GBT)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Centrifuge	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Dissolved air flotation (DAF)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
Other thickening technology	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	no data	no data	
	0	ther			
Biosolids sold in bags (explain at right what size bags)	data not requested for 2004	data not requested for 2004	1	a few hundred tons maybe	

Biosolids Treatment Practices

State Pollutant (trace metal, etc.) Concentration Limits in Biosolids Applied to Land, 2018

Numbers entered only where state limits differed in 2018 from U.S. EPA limits.

	Arsenic (As)	Cadmium (Cd)	Chromium (Cr)	Copper (Cu)	Lead (Pb)	Mercury (Hg)	Molybdenum (Mo)	Nickel (Ni)	Selenium (Se)	Zinc (Zn)
EPA Table 1 (mg/kg)	75	85		4300	840	57	75	420	100	7500
EPA Table 3 (mg/kg) & CPLR (kg/ha)	41	39		1500	300	17		420	36 (CPLR = 100)	2800
State ceiling limit (higher limit) (mg/kg)										
State high quality (lower number) limit (mg/kg)		10		450	250			50		900
State CPLR (kg/ha)										
State APLR (kg/ha/365days)										

TESTING

For each of the following constituents,	Is testing required for <i>all</i>	Or is testing required only for biosolids being	Frequency of testing (in must be done for	dicate how often testing each parameter):	If frequency depends on wastewater flow or	
Indicate if testing is required by your state, as of 2018.	sewage sludge or biosolids?	beneticially used as fertilizers and soil amendments?	In accordance with Part 503 requirements	In accordance with other frequency required by state (if applicable, please specify)	amount of biosolids used or disposed of, please explain:	
Part 503 metals (As, Cu, Hg, etc.)	no	yes	no	Yes	See comments	
Other metals (boron, silver)	no	no	not applicable (N/A)			
Dioxins/furans	no	no	not applicable (N/A)			Kentucky biosolids regulations can be found here:
PCBs	no	yes	no	Yes		https://apps.legislature.ky.gov/law/kar/401/045/100.pdf. Testing frequency is based on design
Priority pollutants (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015- 09/documents/priority-pollutant-list-epa.pdf))	no	no	not applicable (N/A)			Treatment capacity or write. I two samples must be provided for plants that treat less than 1, 000,000 gallons per day (1 MGD), 4 samples for plants that treat >1 and upto 10 MGD, and 12 samples for plants that treat more than 10 MGD. • The only testing required for biosolids that go to landfills is a TO B bod
Other organic compounds (e.g. PDBEs, pharmaceutical)	no	no	not applicable (N/A)			
Radioactive isotopes (alpha, beta, Ra 226, etc.)	no	no	not applicable (N/A)			
Nutrients (NPK)	no	yes	no	Yes		
Pathogen reduction (Class A or B)	no	yes	no	Yes		
Vector attraction reduction (VAR)	no	yes	no	Yes		
PFAS (as of 2018)	no	no	not applicable (N/A)			
Microplastics (as of 2018)	no	no	not applicable (N/A)			
TCLP (toxicity characteristic leaching procedure)	no	no	not applicable (N/A)			
Paint Filter Liquids Test	yes	no	no			

REPORTING

For each of the following, indicate what	Is reporting to the state	Frequency of reporting (i must be done for	ndicate how often testing each parameter):	How are these data	Are data compiled by the state in reports or	
must report to the state:	required for these parameters?	In accordance with Part 503 requirements	In accordance with other frequency required (if applicable, please specify)	stored by the state?	summaries? Is so, please attach.	
The amounts of biosolids/ sewage sludge used or disposed	yes	not applicable (N/A)	reported annually	electronic	no	
Part 503 metals (As, Cu, Hg, etc.)	yes	not applicable (N/A)		electronic	no	
Other metals (boron, silver)	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	(please select)	
Dioxins/furans	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	(please select)	
PCBs	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	(please select)	
Priority pollutants (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015- 09/documents/priority-pollutant-list-epa.pdf)	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	(please select)	Some of this information, such as how the facility achieves Class A or B or VAR is included in the permit application but is not reported annually. Kentucky receives reports from regulated facilities
Other organic compounds (e.g. PDBEs, pharmaceutical)	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	(please select)	which are scanned and stored electronically as images. These data may be obtained through an open
Radioactive isotopes (alpha, beta, Ra 226, etc.)	no	not applicable (N/A)		not applicable (N/A)	(please select)	iecolus iequesi.
Nutrients (NPK)	yes	not applicable (N/A)		electronic	no	

Cumulative Pollutant Loading Rates (CPLR)	yes	not applicable (N/A)	electronic	no
How biosolids achieve Class A or Class B	no	not applicable (N/A)	not applicable (N/A)	(please select)
How biosolids achieve vector attraction reduction (VAR)	no	not applicable (N/A)	not applicable (N/A)	(please select)
Solids stabilization process(es) used	no	not applicable (N/A)	not applicable (N/A)	(please select)
Other biosolids treatments	no	not applicable (N/A)	(please select)	(please select)
End use or disposal practice	yes	(please select)	electronic	no
PFAS (as of 2018)	no	not applicable (N/A)	not applicable (N/A)	(please select)
Microplastics (as of 2018)	no	not applicable (N/A)	not applicable (N/A)	(please select)
TCLP (toxicity characteristic leaching procedure)	no	not applicable (N/A)	not applicable (N/A)	(please select)
Paint Filter Liquids Test	no	not applicable (N/A)	not applicable (N/A)	(please select)