

## DASHBOARD

## Illinois State Biosolids Statistics

Data Quality & Methods	2018	explanations & sources
<p><b>Quality &amp; Confidence in this state's data:</b></p> <p>Data sources &amp; methods:</p> <p>State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data</p> <hr/> <p><b>Demographics &amp; Wastewater</b></p> <p>State population:</p> <p>Total land area in state (acres):</p> <p>Population density (persons/square mile):</p> <p>Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey:</p> <p>total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere:</p> <p>number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018:</p> <p><b>Average population served per WRRF:</b></p> <p><b>Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP):</b></p> <p>avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple):</p> <p><b>Number of WRRFs that treat &gt;75% of state flow:</b></p> <p><b>% of population served by on-site (septic) systems:</b></p> <p><b>Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs):</b></p>	<p><b>MODERATE</b></p> <p><i>IL WRRFs had high rate of reporting to EPA ECHO database. State compilation in 2011 &amp; Chicago data provide confirmation of estimated totals presented here. See notes on data spreadsheet.</i></p> <p>95% % in ECHO vs. the total presented here</p> <hr/> <p>12,741,080</p> <p>35,532,160</p> <p>229.5</p> <p>460</p> <p>509</p> <p>157</p> <p><b>20,025</b></p> <p><b>2,312</b></p> <p>2312</p> <p><b>25</b></p> <p><b>20%</b></p> <p><b>48</b></p>	<p>ranking by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p> <p><i>IL WRRFs had high rate of reporting to EPA ECHO database. State compilation in 2011 &amp; Chicago data provide confirmation of estimated totals presented here. See notes on data spreadsheet.</i></p> <p><a href="https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual">https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual</a></p> <hr/> <p>U. S. Census estimate for July 1, 2018 <a href="https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/pop-estimates-national-state.html">https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/pop-estimates-national-state.html</a></p> <p>calculated</p> <p>survey response by state expert, 2009 data</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020</p> <p><a href="https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual">https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual</a></p> <p>calculated</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</a></p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</a></p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853</a></p> <p>default estimate by NBDP</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p><b>Biosolids Application</b></p> <p>Agricultural land cropland (acres):</p> <p><b>% of state area in cropland:</b></p> <p>Number of farms with that cropland:</p> <p><b>% cropland to which biosolids were applied:</b></p> <p><b>Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.):</b></p> <p><b>% cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac):</b></p>	<p>24,003,086</p> <p><b>68%</b></p> <p>64,958</p> <p><b>no data</b></p> <p><b>0.01</b></p> <p><b>0.4%</b></p>	<p><a href="https://nuickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0CBB8084-6032-3776-AFB8-624DB8825822">https://nuickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0CBB8084-6032-3776-AFB8-624DB8825822</a></p> <p>calculated</p> <p><a href="https://nuickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F56563D1-C9CD-30EE-9274-2F91CC0649EC">https://nuickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F56563D1-C9CD-30EE-9274-2F91CC0649EC</a></p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p><b>Nutrient Sources - Comparison</b></p> <p>Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p><b>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would come from biosolids?</b></p>	<p>13,282</p> <p>105,906</p> <p>964,434</p> <p><b>1.2%</b></p>	<p>calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N</p> <p><a href="https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure">https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</a></p> <p><a href="https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased">https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</a></p> <p>calculated</p>

<p>Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p><b>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied P would come from biosolids?</b></p>	<p>5,534</p> <p>36,690</p> <p>130,320</p> <p><b>3.2%</b></p>	<p>calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P  <a href="https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure">https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</a>  <a href="https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased">https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</a></p> <p>calculated</p>
<p><b>State Regulatory Involvement</b></p> <p><b>Biosolids oversight agency / division:</b></p> <p>Permitting.... of biosolids programs:  ...of land application sites:  FTEs: state biosolids regulatory program:</p> <p><b>Biosolids program FTEs per million population:</b></p> <p><b>Enforcement: Inspections of biosolids facilities &amp; field sites in 2018:</b></p> <p><b>Formal violations issued:</b></p> <p><b>Amount of state regulations beyond Part 503:</b></p> <p><b>Amount of state regulation of nutrient management &amp; phosphorus:</b></p> <p><b>Accessibility of biosolids data to public:</b></p> <p><b>State encouragement of biosolids recycling to soils:</b></p> <p>Voluntary additional protections by land appliers known &amp; reported by state coordinator:</p>	<p><b>Environment agency - water / wastewater program</b></p> <p>State Operating Permits are issued to each generator &amp; user if biosolids go to land application.  Site-specific permits are issued.</p> <p>0.6</p> <p><b>0.05</b></p> <p>12 employees, but only ~5% of each employee's time is spent on biosolids</p> <p><b>0</b></p> <p><b>0</b></p> <p><b>Low</b></p> <p><b>Low</b></p> <p><b>Moderate</b></p> <p><b>Moderate</b></p> <p>Low</p>	<p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p>
<p><b>Trends</b></p> <p><b>New land application activity, 2018 - new permits &amp; acreage, acres applied:</b>  acres applied in 2018:</p> <p><b>Local regulations &amp; their impacts?:</b>  details...</p> <p><b>Legislative &amp; state regulatory actions in 2018 &amp; their impacts?:</b>  details...</p> <p><b>Biosolids beneficial use increasing... ..in 2018?:</b>  <b>....in 2020?:</b>  details...</p>	<p><b>Moderate</b></p> <p>no data</p> <p><b>None</b></p> <p>no activity in 2018</p> <p><b>None</b></p> <p>In 2015, a new law precluded additional state regulation of Class A biosolids products, helping advance Class A use.</p> <p><b>It's staying the same.</b></p> <p><b>It's staying the same.</b></p>	<p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)... With quotes of survey responses by state expert(s)</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p> <p>survey response by state expert</p>
<p><b>Changes in Biosolids Use &amp; Disposal</b></p> <p><b>Change* in solids reported used or disposed (in units used by state):</b></p> <p><b>Beneficial Use - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</b></p> <p><b>Landfill &amp; surface disposal - % point increase or decrease (-):</b></p> <p><b>Incineration - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</b></p> <p><b>Class A - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</b></p> <p><b>Class B - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</b></p> <p><b>No class or not known - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</b></p>	<p>(23,421)</p> <p>dry U. S. tons... Note: 2004 data are adjusted in this calculation to not include stored solids, which are not included in 2018 data....</p> <p>17%</p> <p>-18%</p> <p>0%</p> <p>35%</p> <p>-43%</p> <p>8%</p>	<p>*Change may be due to population increase/decrease, change in treatment at a large WWTP, and/or different systems of data tracking and reporting.</p> <p>calculated comparing these 2018 data to 2004 data compiled by the same survey team (NEBRA, 2007)</p>