

# DASHBOARD

## Arizona State Biosolids Statistics

Data Quality & Methods	2018	explanations & sources
<p><b>Quality &amp; Confidence in this state's data:</b> Data sources &amp; methods: State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data</p> <p><b>Demographics &amp; Wastewater</b> State population: Total land area in state (acres): Population density (persons/square mile): Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey: total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere: number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018: <b>Average population served per WRRF:</b> <b>Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP):</b> avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple): <b>Number of WRRFs that treat &gt;75% of state flow:</b> <b>% of population served by on-site (septic) systems:</b> <b>Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs):</b></p>	<p><b>Low</b> <i>Data are mostly NBDP estimates.</i> 3% % in ECHO vs. the total presented here</p> <p>7,158,000 72,700,160 63 23 129 1 <b>44,391</b> <b>418</b> 418 <b>16</b> <b>20%</b> <b>21</b></p>	<p>ranking by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None) <a href="https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=biAnnual">https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=biAnnual</a></p> <p>U.S. Census estimate for July 1, 2018 <a href="https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/non-estimates-national-state.html">https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/non-estimates-national-state.html</a> calculated NBDP data compilation Seiple et al., 2020; state experts, etc. <a href="https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=biAnnual">https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=biAnnual</a> calculated Seiple et al., 2020 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853</a> NBDP default estimate calculated</p>
<p><b>Biosolids Application</b> Agricultural land cropland (acres): <b>% of state area in cropland:</b> Number of farms with that cropland: <b>% cropland to which biosolids were applied:</b> <b>Application rate</b> if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.): <b>% cropland needed</b> if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac):</p>	<p>1,286,648 <b>2%</b> 7,274 <b>no data</b> <b>0.06</b> <b>2.0%</b></p>	<p><a href="https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0CBAD84-6032-3776-AF8B-624DB8825822">https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0CBAD84-6032-3776-AF8B-624DB8825822</a> calculated <a href="https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F56563D1-C9CD-30FF-9774-2F91CC0640EC">https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F56563D1-C9CD-30FF-9774-2F91CC0640EC</a> calculated calculated calculated</p>
<p><b>Nutrient Sources - Comparison</b> Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018): N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes): N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011): <b>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would come from biosolids?</b> Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p>	<p>3,650 50,998 60,041 <b>3.2%</b> 1,521</p>	<p>calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N <a href="https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure">https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</a> <a href="https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased">https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</a> calculated calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P</p>

<p>P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p><b>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied P would come from biosolids?</b></p>	<p>12,309</p> <p>8,921</p> <p><b>6.7%</b></p>	<p><a href="https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure">https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</a></p> <p><a href="https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased">https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</a></p> <p>calculated</p>
<p><b>State Regulatory Involvement</b></p> <p><b>Biosolids oversight agency / division:</b></p> <p>Permitting.... of biosolids programs: ...of land application sites: FTEs: state biosolids regulatory program:</p> <p><b>Biosolids program FTEs per million population:</b></p> <p><b>Enforcement: Inspections of biosolids facilities &amp; field sites in 2018:</b></p> <p><b>Formal violations issued:</b></p> <p><b>Amount of state regulations beyond Part 503:</b></p> <p><b>Amount of state regulation of nutrient management &amp; phosphorus:</b></p> <p><b>Accessibility of biosolids data to public:</b></p> <p><b>State encouragement of biosolids recycling to soils:</b></p> <p>Voluntary additional protections by land appliers known &amp; reported by state coordinator:</p>	<p><b>AZ Dept. of Environmental Quality</b></p> <p>See <a href="https://azdeq.gov/why-do-i-need-biosolids-land-application-registration">https://azdeq.gov/why-do-i-need-biosolids-land-application-registration</a></p> <p><b>no data provided</b></p> <p><b>no data</b></p> <p><b>no data</b></p> <p><b>Low</b></p> <p><b>no data</b></p> <p><b>None</b></p> <p><b>None</b></p> <p>None</p>	<p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p>
<p><b>Trends</b></p> <p><b>New land application activity, 2018 - new permits &amp; acreage, acres applied:</b> acres applied in 2018:</p> <p><b>Local regulations &amp; their impacts?:</b> details...</p> <p><b>Legislative &amp; state regulatory actions in 2018 &amp; their impacts?:</b> details...</p> <p><b>Biosolids beneficial use increasing... ..in 2018?:</b> <b>....in 2020?:</b> details...</p>	<p><b>no data</b></p> <p>no data</p> <p><b>no data</b></p> <p><b>no data</b></p> <p><b>no data</b></p> <p><b>no data</b></p>	<p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)... With quotes of survey responses by state expert(s)</p>
<p><b>Changes in Biosolids Use &amp; Disposal, 2004 - 2018</b></p> <p><b>Change* in solids reported used or disposed (in units used by state):</b></p> <p><b>Beneficial Use - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</b></p> <p><b>Landfill &amp; surface disposal - % point increase or decrease (-):</b></p> <p><b>Incineration - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</b></p> <p><b>Class A - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</b></p> <p><b>Class B - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</b></p> <p><b>No class or not known - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</b></p>	<p>(13,961) dry metric tons</p> <p>2%</p> <p>9%</p> <p>-11%</p> <p>-1%</p> <p>-8%</p> <p>9%</p>	<p>*Change may be due to population increase/decrease, change in treatment at a large WWTP, and/or different systems of data tracking and reporting.</p> <p>calculated comparing these 2018 data to 2004 data compiled by the same survey team (NEBRA, 2007)</p>