

DASHBOARD

Alaska State Biosolids Statistics

Data Quality & Methods	2018	explanations & sources
<p>Quality & Confidence in this state's data:</p> <p>Data sources & methods:</p> <p>State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data</p>	<p>Moderate</p> <p>2018 data from the EPA ECHO database for 3 large WRRFs, plus WRRF websites & NBDP extrapolations provided data for the 10 largest AK WRRFs, representing ~90% of the state's wastewater flow.</p> <p>18% % in ECHO vs. the total presented here</p>	<p>ranking by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p> <p>https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=biAnnual</p>
<p>Demographics & Wastewater</p> <p>State population:</p> <p>Total land area in state (acres):</p> <p>Population density (persons/square mile):</p> <p>Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey:</p> <p>total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere:</p> <p>number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018:</p> <p>Average population served per WRRF:</p> <p>Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP):</p> <p>avg.wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple):</p> <p>Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow:</p> <p>% of population served by on-site (septic) systems:</p> <p>Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs):</p>	<p>737,438</p> <p>365,210,240</p> <p>1.3</p> <p>11</p> <p>21</p> <p>3</p> <p>17,558</p> <p>-</p> <p>60</p> <p>4</p> <p>50%</p> <p>32</p>	<p>U.S. Census estimate for July 1, 2018 https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/epp-estimates-national-state.html</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>major WRRFs (>1 MGD)</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020; state experts, etc. https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=biAnnual</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>no estimate available from the state</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853</p> <p>NBDP estimate consistent with 2004 esti</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Biosolids Application</p> <p>Agricultural land cropland (acres):</p> <p>% of state area in cropland:</p> <p>Number of farms with that cropland:</p> <p>% cropland to which biosolids were applied:</p> <p>Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.):</p> <p>% cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac):</p>	<p>83,732</p> <p>0.02%</p> <p>777</p> <p>0.006%</p> <p>0.14</p> <p>4.7%</p>	<p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0CBBAD84-6032-3776-AB88-624DB8825822</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F56563D1-C9CD-30EF-9274-2F91CC0640EC</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Nutrient Sources - Comparison</p> <p>Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would come from biosolids?</p>	<p>564</p> <p>796</p> <p>2,817</p> <p>13%</p>	<p>calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure</p> <p>https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</p> <p>calculated</p>

Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):	235	calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/estimated-animal-agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure
P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):	225	https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased
P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):	329	
If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied P would come from biosolids?	30%	calculated
State Regulatory Involvement Biosolids oversight agency / division: Permitting.... of biosolids programs: ...of land application sites: FTEs: state biosolids regulatory program: Biosolids program FTEs per million population: Enforcement: Inspections of biosolids facilities & field sites in 2018: Formal violations issued: Amount of state regulations beyond Part 503: Amount of state regulation of nutrient management & phosphorus: Accessibility of biosolids data to public: State encouragement of biosolids recycling to soils: Voluntary additional protections by land appliers known & reported by state coordinator:	Environment agency - solid waste program solid waste license/permit issued as separate site-specific permits 0.1 0.14 2 0 Low Low Low Low Low	survey response by state expert survey response by state expert survey response by state expert calculated survey response by state expert survey response by state expert rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)
Trends New land application activity, 2018 - new permits & acreage, acres applied: acres applied in 2018: Local regulations & their impacts?: details... Legislative & state regulatory actions in 2018 & their impacts?: details... Biosolids beneficial use increasing... ..in 2018?:in 2020?: details...	Low 5 NBDP estimate Some One Borough discussed a ban & restricted biosolids land application in & around 2017. None No No In June 2019, the one remaining biosolids beneficial use program - Fairbanks composting - was halted because of PFAS concerns.	rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)... With quotes of survey responses by state expert(s) survey response by state expert survey response by state expert
Changes in Biosolids Use & Disposal, 2004 - 2018 Change* in solids reported used or disposed (in units used by state): Beneficial Use - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Landfill & surface disposal - % point increase or decrease (-): Incineration - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class A - percentage point increase or decrease (-): Class B - percentage point increase or decrease (-): No class or not known - percentage point increase or decrease (-):	(5,175) dry metric tons -56% 37% -37% -30% 0% 30%	*Change may be due to population increase/decrease, change in treatment at a large WWTP, and/or different systems of data tracking and reporting. calculated comparing these 2018 data to 2004 data compiled by the same survey team (NEBRA, 2007)