

DASHBOARD

Maine State Biosolids Statistics

Data Quality & Methods	2018	explanations & sources
<p>Quality & Confidence in this state's data:</p> <p>Data sources & methods:</p> <p>State biosolids included in 2018 EPA ECHO data</p>	<p>Moderately High</p> <p>NEIWPCC/NBDP survey data provided by ME WRRFs are the cornerstones of Maine data here. ME DEP compiles annual data, but not as thoroughly as in the past. Some of those data & estimates filled gaps for WRRFs not reporting.</p> <p>31% % in ECHO vs. the total presented here</p>	<p>information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p> <p>U.S. Census estimate for July 1, 2018 https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/08/estimates-national-state.html</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>NBDP estimate</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020; state experts, etc https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>survey response by state expert https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853</p> <p>NBDP estimate based on 1990 septage survey</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Demographics & Wastewater</p> <p>State population:</p> <p>Total land area in state (acres):</p> <p>Population density (persons/square mile):</p> <p>Total number of WRRFs reported in state survey:</p> <p>total number of WRRFs permitted/reported elsewhere:</p> <p>number of WRRFs in EPA ECHO reports for 2018:</p> <p>Average population served per WRRF:</p> <p>Average wastewater flow statewide (MGD, NBDP):</p> <p>avg. wastewater flow statewide (MGD, Seiple):</p> <p>Number of WRRFs that treat >75% of state flow:</p> <p>% of population served by on-site (septic) systems:</p> <p>Biosolids used or disposed / person in 2018 (lbs):</p>	<p>1,338,404</p> <p>19,739,520</p> <p>43.4</p> <p>87</p> <p>135</p> <p>6</p> <p>9,230</p> <p>110</p> <p>136</p> <p>24</p> <p>40%</p> <p>36</p>	<p>U.S. Census estimate for July 1, 2018 https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/08/estimates-national-state.html</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>NBDP estimate</p> <p>Seiple et al., 2020; state experts, etc https://echo.epa.gov/facilities/facility-search?mediaSelected=bioAnnual</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>survey response by state expert https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110852</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110853</p> <p>NBDP estimate based on 1990 septage survey</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Biosolids Application</p> <p>Agricultural land cropland (acres):</p> <p>% of state area in cropland:</p> <p>Number of farms with that cropland:</p> <p>% cropland to which biosolids were applied:</p> <p>Application rate if all state biosolids were applied to cropland (dry U.S. tons/ac.):</p> <p>% cropland needed if all state biosolids were applied at typical rate (~3 dt/ac):</p>	<p>472,508</p> <p>2%</p> <p>24,948</p> <p>no data</p> <p>0.05</p> <p>1.7%</p>	<p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0CBBAD84-6032-3776-AF8B-624D8825822</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/F56563D1-C9CD-30FE-9774-2F91CC0640EC</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>Nutrient Sources - Comparison</p> <p>Nitrogen (N) in all this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p> <p>N in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>N in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied N would come from biosolids?</p> <p>Phosphorus (P) in this state's biosolids (metric tonnes, 2018):</p>	<p>1,057</p> <p>6,109</p> <p>31,078</p> <p>2.8%</p> <p>441</p>	<p>calculated assuming avg. 4.8% biosolids N https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchase</p> <p>calculated</p> <p>calculated assuming avg. 2% biosolids P</p>

<p>P in this state's animal manures (metric tonnes):</p> <p>P in this state's purchased fertilizer (metric tonnes, 2011):</p> <p>If all state's biosolids applied, what % of state's applied P would come from biosolids?</p>	<p>1,391</p> <p>11,325</p> <p>3.3%</p>	<p>agriculture-nitrogen-and-phosphorus-manure https://www.epa.gov/nutrient-policy-data/commercial-fertilizer-purchased</p> <p>calculated</p>
<p>State Regulatory Involvement</p> <p>Biosolids oversight agency / division: Permitting.... of biosolids programs: ...of land application sites: FTEs: state biosolids regulatory program:</p> <p>Biosolids program FTEs per million population:</p> <p>Enforcement: Inspections of biosolids facilities & field sites in 2018:</p> <p>Formal violations issued:</p> <p>Amount of state regulations beyond Part 503:</p> <p>Amount of state regulation of nutrient management & phosphorus:</p> <p>Accessibility of biosolids data to public:</p> <p>State encouragement of biosolids recycling to soils: Voluntary additional protections by land appliers known & reported by state coordinator:</p>	<p>ME DEP, Bureau of Remediation & Waste Mgt: Residuals, Sludge & Composting solid waste license/permit issued as separate site-specific permits 4 NBDP estimate</p> <p>2.99</p> <p>no data no data High Moderate Low Moderate Low</p>	<p>survey response by state expert calculated survey response by state expert survey response by state expert</p> <p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)</p>
<p>Trends</p> <p>New land application activity, 2018 - new permits & acreage, acres applied: acres applied in 2018:</p> <p>Local regulations & their impacts?: details...</p> <p>Legislative & state regulatory actions in 2018 & their impacts?: details...</p> <p>Biosolids beneficial use increasing... ..in 2018?:in 2020?: details...</p>	<p>Moderate no data None a few local regulations in the 2000s, but nothing significant now Some new PFAS contaminant limits developing, which led to restrictions on biosolids land application in 2019 It's staying the same. No PFAS issue led to moratorium, widespread testing, & reductions in beneficial use starting in March 2019.</p>	<p>rankings by survey team based on information provided in survey (options: High, Moderate, Low, None)... With quotes of survey responses by state expert(s)</p> <p>survey response by state expert survey response by state expert</p>
<p>Changes in Biosolids Use & Disposal</p> <p>Change* in solids reported used or disposed (in units used by state):</p> <p>Beneficial Use - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p> <p>Landfill & surface disposal - % point increase or decrease (-):</p> <p>Incineration - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p> <p>Class A - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p> <p>Class B - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p> <p>No class or not known - percentage point increase or decrease (-):</p>	<p>(7,923) dry U.S. tons</p> <p>-41%</p> <p>41%</p> <p>0%</p> <p>-37%</p> <p>-3%</p> <p>40%</p>	<p>*Decrease due, in part, to installation of anaerobic digestion & closing of alkaline stabilization facility. Additional change may be due to population increase/decrease, change in treatment at a large WWTP, and/or different systems of data tracking and reporting.</p> <p>calculated comparing these 2018 data to 2004 data compiled by the same survey team (NEBRA, 2007)</p>